


# Pinal County Strategic Transportation Safety Plan Update

Pinal County Board of Supervisors  
January 22, 2025





### Pinal County COGs and MPOs



# Background

**360 people died and 10,473 people were injured in 22,429 reported crashes over the past 5 years (2018-2022) in Pinal County**

# Safe Streets and Roads for All (SS4A)

- This Pinal County Strategic Transportation Safety Plan (STSP) update will meet all SS4A criteria and can be used for pursuing SS4A Implementation funds
- Pinal County was awarded SS4A Planning funds in 2024 which will be used to supplement this STSP update

S   S 4   A	Safe Streets and Roads for All Action Plan Components	S   S 4   A	Safe Streets and Roads for All Action Plan Components
<p><small>This document is not meant to replace the NHDOT. Applicants should follow the instructions in the NHDOT to correctly apply for a grant. See the SS4A website for more information: <a href="https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A">https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A</a></small></p> <p><b>Leadership Commitment and Goal Setting</b> <small>An official public commitment (e.g., resolution, policy, ordinance, etc.) by a high-ranking official (and/or governing body (e.g., Mayor, City Council, Tribal Council, MPO Policy Board, etc.) to an eventual goal of zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries. The commitment must include a goal and timeline for eliminating roadway fatalities and serious injuries achieved through one, or both, of the following:</small></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(1) the target date for achieving zero roadway fatalities and serious injuries, OR</li> <li>(2) an ambitious percentage reduction of roadway fatalities and serious injuries by a specific date with an eventual goal of eliminating roadway fatalities and serious injuries.</li> </ul> <p><b>Planning Structure</b> <small>A committee, task force, implementation group, or similar body charged with oversight of the Action Plan development, implementation, and monitoring.</small></p> <p><b>Safety Analysis</b> <small>Analysis of existing conditions and historical trends that provides a baseline level of crashes involving fatalities and serious injuries across a jurisdiction, locality, tribe, or region. Includes an analysis of locations where there are crashes and the severity of the crashes, as well as contributing factors and crash types by relevant road users (motorists, people walking, transit users, etc.). Analysis of systemic and specific safety needs is also performed, as needed (e.g., high-mile road features, specific safety needs of relevant road users, public health approaches, analysis of the built environment, demographic, and structural issues, etc.). To the extent practical, the analysis should include all roadways within the jurisdiction, without regard for ownership. Based on the analysis performed, a geographic identification of higher-risk locations is developed to high-injury networks or equivalents.</small></p> <p><b>Engagement and Collaboration</b> <small>Robust engagement with the public and relevant stakeholders, including the private sector and community groups, that allows for both community representation and feedback. Information received from engagement and collaboration is analyzed and incorporated into the Action Plan. Overlapping jurisdictions are included in the process. Plans and processes are coordinated and aligned with other governmental plans and planning processes to the extent practical.</small></p> <p><small>U.S. Department of Transportation</small></p>			<p><b>Equity Considerations</b> <small>Plan development using inclusive and representative processes. Underserved communities* are identified through data and other analyses in collaboration with appropriate partners. Analysis includes both population characteristics and initial equity impact assessments of the proposed projects and strategies.</small></p> <p><b>Policy and Process Changes</b> <small>Assessment of current policies, plans, guidelines, and/or standards (e.g., manuals) to identify opportunities to improve how processes promote transportation safety. The Action Plan discusses implementation through the adoption of revised or new policies, guidelines, and/or standards, as appropriate.</small></p> <p><b>Strategy and Project Selection</b> <small>Identification of a comprehensive set of projects and strategies, shaped by data, the best available evidence and relevant practices, as well as stakeholder input and equity considerations, that will address the safety problems described in the Action Plan. These strategies and countermeasures focus on a Safe System Approach, effective interventions, and corridor/multidisciplinary activities. To the extent practical, data limitations are identified and mitigated.</small></p> <p><small>Once identified, the list of projects and strategies is prioritized in a list that provides time ranges for when the strategies and countermeasures will be deployed (e.g., short-, mid-, and long-term implementation). The list should include specific projects and strategies, or descriptions of programs of projects and strategies, and explain prioritization criteria used. The list should contain interventions focused on infrastructure, behavior, and/or operational safety.</small></p> <p><b>Progress and Transparency</b> <small>Method to measure progress over time after an Action Plan is developed or updated, including outcome data. Means to ensure ongoing transparency is established with residents and other relevant stakeholders. Must include, at a minimum, annual public and accessible reporting on progress toward reducing roadway fatalities and serious injuries, and public posting of the Action Plan online.</small></p> <p><small>* An underserved community is defined by the NHDOT as consistent with the Office of Management and Enterprise Services for the American Indian and Alaska Native communities. For more information, visit <a href="https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A">https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A</a>.</small></p> <p><small>U.S. Department of Transportation</small></p> <p><small>Still have questions? Visit the <a href="https://www.transportation.gov/SS4A">SS4A website</a>. SS4A Action Plan Components   Page 2 of 2</small></p>


# Promoting Safety Culture

- Public Outreach Events
  - March 1<sup>st</sup> Coolidge Cotton Days
  - March 26<sup>th</sup> Ironwood Village presentation
  - April 6<sup>th</sup> Casa Grande Public Safety Day
  - May 6<sup>th</sup> Pinal County Complex San Tan Valley
  - September 24<sup>th</sup> Casa Grande Silent Witness Night
  - Two tentative public events in early 2025
- Pinal County Board of Supervisors presentation
- TAC and Executive Board presentations for SCMPO, CAG, and MAG



# Public Surveys

- 6-month online survey received 560 responses
- 54% of motorists feel unsafe on the roads
- Reported feeling least safe: bicyclists, elderly and/or disabled persons, pedestrians, and motorcyclists
- Reported behaviors: hurried, distracted, inattentive, and frustrated/angry




**Top 5 Safety Concerns Observed by Respondents**

# Equity Analysis

- Required for SS4A implementation funding pursuit
- Used the USDOT's Equitable Transportation Community (ETC) Explorer
- Identified priority equity areas in the study area

## Proportion of Fatal or Suspected Serious Injury Crashes in Disadvantaged Areas

Number of Fatal or Suspected Serious Injury Crashes in County	Number of Fatal or Suspected Serious Injury Crashes in Disadvantaged Areas in County	% of Fatal or Suspected Serious Injury Crashes in Disadvantaged Areas in the County
1,164	948	81.4%





# Safety Performance

*Data Source: ADOT ACIS crash data from 2018 to 2022*

# Crash Severity by Agency

Agency	No Injury	Possible Injury	Suspected Minor Injury	Suspected Serious Injury	Fatal	Grand Total
Ak-Chin Indian Community	18	6	6	2	3	35
Apache Junction	1,825	396	384	107	23	2,735
Arizona City	81	14	19	1	1	116
Casa Grande	2,622	703	367	131	20	3,843
Coolidge	552	174	134	56	7	923
Eloy	478	98	116	35	20	747
Florence	307	75	74	37	6	499
Gila River Indian Community	2,061	332	497	80	60	3,030
Kearny	29	2	3	3	1	38
Mammoth	5	2	1			8
Maricopa	1,335	349	205	37	12	1,938
Pinal County	3,756	636	823	255	132	5,602
Queen Creek	156	29	17	5	2	209
San Tan Valley	1,833	379	325	105	17	2,659
Superior	10	4	2		1	17
Tohono O'odham Nation	17	5	3	1	4	30
<b>Grand Total</b>	<b>15,085</b>	<b>3,204</b>	<b>2,976</b>	<b>855</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>22,429</b>

# Crash Type by Agency

Agency	Angle	Head On	Left Turn	Other	Rear End	Sideswipe Opposite Direction	Sideswipe Same Direction	Single Vehicle	U Turn	Unknown	Total
Ak-Chin Indian Community	3		2	2	11	2	2	12		1	35
Apache Junction	548	40	415	130	833	38	293	392	14	32	2,735
Arizona City	28	5	9	8	34	3	6	18	2	3	116
Casa Grande	671	62	642	187	1,101	66	466	583	28	37	3,843
Coolidge	196	26	118	61	207	27	83	192	4	9	923
Eloy	122	14	57	51	187	21	86	202	4	3	747
Florence	56	7	79	24	144	14	37	132	2	4	499
Gila River Indian Community	103	27	84	106	1,499	29	400	764	12	6	3,030
Kearny	1		4	10	6	2	1	12		2	38
Mammoth	2		2			1		3			8
Maricopa	211	62	355	100	680	47	198	261	4	20	1,938
Pinal County	397	92	322	233	1,484	104	604	2,320	23	23	5,602
Queen Creek	18	7	57	8	64	6	24	21	4		209
San Tan Valley	369	61	545	78	883	60	262	353	27	21	2,659
Superior	1		2	2	1	1	4	5		1	17
Tohono O'odham Nation				2	1	1		25		1	30
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,726</b>	<b>403</b>	<b>2,693</b>	<b>1,002</b>	<b>7,135</b>	<b>422</b>	<b>2,466</b>	<b>5,295</b>	<b>124</b>	<b>163</b>	<b>22,429</b>

# Emphasis Areas

- Behavior Related: Speeding, Impaired Driving, Not Wearing Seat Belt
- Intersection
- Lane Departure
- Nighttime
- Age-related: Under 25, Over 64

# Vision and Goal

**Vision:** “STRIVING FOR ZERO DEATHS – One is too many!”

**Goal:** "Reduce serious injuries and deaths on public roads within Pinal County by 20% by 2030."

Vision Zero is required for SS4A

# Network Screening and Areas of Opportunity

# Top 20 Intersections

Intersection	Owner
SR 87 & Skousen Rd	ADOT
I-10 Ramp East (Exit) & SR 387	ADOT
Peters Rd & Florence St	Casa Grande
Ironwood Dr & Pima Rd	Pinal County
SR 287 & Hacienda Rd	Casa Grande
SR 87 & Vah Ki Inn Rd	ADOT
Battaglia Rd & Frontier St	Eloy
SR 87 & SR 187	ADOT
SR 287 & SR 87	ADOT
SR 88 & Southern Ave	ADOT
Bella Vista Rd & Gantzel Rd	Pinal County
Hunt Hwy & Mountain Vista Blvd	Pinal County
Pinal Ave & Rodeo Rd	Casa Grande
SR 87 & Martin Rd	ADOT
Ironwood Dr & Baseline Ave	Apache Junction
SR 287 & Brown Ave	Casa Grande
White & Parker Rd & Maricopa Casa Grande Hwy	Maricopa
SR 287 & Cacheris Ct	Casa Grande
US 60 & Peralta Rd	ADOT
Meridian Rd & US 60 East (Ramp)	Pinal County

# Top 20 Segments

On Road	Owner
<b>SR-347:</b> Sonoran Desert Pkwy to Juan St	ADOT
<b>SR-87:</b> 0.4 mile south of Bartlett Rd to 0.3 mile north of Bartlett Rd	ADOT
<b>SR-79:</b> SR 77 to 0.4 mile west of SR 77	ADOT
<b>Superstition Boulevard:</b> 400 ft west of San Marcos Dr to Idaho Rd	Apache Junction
<b>SR-88:</b> 650 ft east of Hackamore Rd to Mountain View Rd	ADOT
<b>Coolidge Avenue:</b> 15th St to 10th St	Coolidge
<b>SR 177:</b> 2 Miles south of E Tu Ranch 1 to 2.6 Miles South of E Tu Ranch 1	ADOT
<b>Delaware Drive:</b> Foothill St to Shiprock St	Apache Junction
<b>Papago Rd:</b> 0.1 Miles east of White Rd to 0.2 Miles east of White Rd	Pinal County
<b>Quail Run:</b> Judd Rd to 0.3 miles north of Judd Rd	Florence
<b>SR-347:</b> Sonoran Desert Pkwy to Juan St	ADOT
<b>SR-87:</b> 0.4 mile south of Bartlett Rd to 0.3 mile north of Bartlett Rd	ADOT
<b>SR-79:</b> SR 77 to 0.4 mile west of SR 77	Florence
<b>Superstition Boulevard:</b> 400 ft west of San Marcos Dr to Idaho Rd	ADOT
<b>SR-88:</b> 650 ft east of Hackamore Rd to Mountain View Rd	ADOT
<b>Coolidge Avenue:</b> 15th St to 10th St	Maricopa
<b>SR 177:</b> 2 Miles south of E Tu Ranch 1 to 2.6 Miles South of E Tu Ranch 1	Apache Junction
<b>Delaware Drive:</b> Foothill St to Shiprock St	Pinal County
<b>Papago Rd:</b> 0.1 Miles east of White Rd to 0.2 Miles east of White Rd	ADOT
<b>Quail Run:</b> Judd Rd to 0.3 miles north of Judd Rd	Pinal County



# Intersection and Segment Screening Tools

The SWAT and USAT tools contain the full ranked results for intersections and segments. They allow Pinal County staff to easily change the analysis parameters, including weights associated with each scoring criterion.

### Sliding Window Analysis Tool (SWAT)

Sliding Window Size: 0.3 Miles

A Single Road Segment  
 Multiple Road Segments:  
 Roads by Agency:  
 All Road Segments

12,042 Records Shown

Location ID (Milepost)	Segment Name
10.0-10.3	SR 347 S
10.1-10.4	SR 347 S

### Unsignalized/Signalized Analysis Tool (USAT)

Count of Intersections Shown: 419

All Roads     Only these roads:  
 Only roads within these agencies:

Signalization Status:  With at least  total crashes during data period

Include Only Intersections with ADEV Available

Crash Rate Rank not used. Some intersections have no ADEV data.

Intersection	Signal-ized	Owner	ADEV	Crash Severity*					Crash Freq.	Crash Freq. Rank	Crash Rate	Crash Rate Rank	Severity Index	Severity Index Rank	PI	PI Rank
				1	2	3	4	5								
SR 87 & Skousen Rd	No	ADOT	27192	17	8	11	4	2	42	44	0.85	0	2.14	31	37.5	1
I-10 Ramp South (Exit) & SR 387	No	ADOT	37263	26	7	20	4	0	57	26	0.84	0	1.81	73	49.5	2

# Safety Strategies

Safe System Approach strategies were developed for Emphasis Areas using various resources, including:

- FHWA's Proven Safety Countermeasures
- National Highway Traffic Safety Administration's (NHTSA) "Countermeasures that Work"
- FHWA's Crash Modification Factors Clearinghouse

Strategies were also categorized into the 4 E's of traffic safety:

- Engineering
- Enforcement
- Education
- Emergency Response

# Safety Strategies

- 97 safety strategies identified
- Sample speeding strategies:
  - Engineering: Dynamic speed feedback signs
  - Education: Defensive driving courses
  - Enforcement: High-visibility speed enforcement
  - Emergency Response: Traffic incident management

**OFFICE OF SAFETY**  
**Proven Safety Countermeasures**


---

**SPEED MANAGEMENT**

 <p>Speed Safety Cameras</p>	 <p>Variable Speed Limits</p>	 <p>Appropriate Speed Limits for All Road Users</p>
--	--	--


---

**ROADWAY DEPARTURE**

 <p>Wider Edge Lines</p>	 <p>Enhanced Delineation for Horizontal Curves</p>	 <p>Longitudinal Rumble Strips and Stripes on Two-Lane Roads</p>
 <p>SafetyEdge<sup>SM</sup></p>	 <p>Roadside Design Improvements at Curves</p>	 <p>Median Barriers</p>

---

**INTERSECTIONS**

 <p>Backplates with Retroreflective Borders</p>	 <p>Corridor Access Management</p>	 <p>Dedicated Left- and Right-Turn Lanes at Intersections</p>
 <p>Reduced Left-Turn Conflict Intersections</p>	 <p>Roundabouts</p>	 <p>Systemic Application of Multiple Low-Cost Countermeasures at Stop-Controlled Intersections</p>
 <p>Yellow Change Intervals</p>		

# Implementation Plan

- Incorporate Safety into Project Development
- Adopt Policy and Process Changes
  - Complete Streets
  - Active Transportation Plan
  - Road Safety Assessments
  - Annual Safety Performance Report
  - Fatal Crash Team
- Safety Committee members from County, local agencies, SCMPO, CAG, MAG, and ADOT for implementation oversight




**THE**  
**SAFE**  
**SYSTEM**

**APPROACH**

Zero is our goal. A Safe System  
is how we get there.

# Implementation Plan

- Projects selected via crash data, agency comments, and public comments
- A total of 96 potential safety projects



Agency	Spot Projects	Systemic Projects
Apache Junction	8	3
Casa Grande	13	3
Coolidge	9	1
Eloy	1	
Florence	2	
Maricopa	3	1
Pinal County	42	5
Queen Creek	1	
San Tan Valley	3	1

## Sample Spot Projects

- Coolidge: SR 287 & Vah Ki Inn Rd
  - Install retroreflective signal backplates and protected/permissive left turn signal phasing
  - \$209,000 cost
- Casa Grande: SR 287 & Hacienda Rd
  - Install a traffic signal or roundabout
  - \$1,100,000 cost



## Sample Systemic Projects

- Apache Junction: Superstition Blvd:  
Rennick Dr to Idaho Rd, SR 88: Mountain  
View Rd to Hackamore Rd
  - Install speed feedback signs
  - \$83,000 cost
- Pinal County: Hunt Hwy: Magma Rd to  
0.3 mi south of Magma Rd, SR 587  
Rainbows Ends St to Hunt Hwy
  - Install intersection lighting
  - \$164,000 cost



# HSIP Projects



## HSIP Projects from SCMPO Region 2014-2016

- Coolidge: Citywide Striping, \$245,075
- Eloy: Street Signs, \$61,860
- Eloy: Upgrade Pavement Markings, \$260,771
- Casa Grande: Upgrade Ped Heads, \$91,222
- Coolidge: SR87/Randolph Rd Intersection Improvement, \$650,000
- Pinal County: Sign Upgrade, \$234,048
- Eloy: Upgrade Regulatory Signs, \$189,048
- Coolidge: SR87 and Ruins Traffic Signal, \$828,258

**Total Funding: \$2,560,282**

## HSIP Projects from SCMPO 2016 STSP

- Casa Grande: Dilemma zone detection, rumble strips on Jimmie Kerr Blvd, \$388,607
- Coolidge: Rumble strips on Macrae Rd, \$333,428
- Casa Grande: Left-turn lane and transverse rumble strips on Peart Rd, \$615,012

**Total Funding: \$1,337,047**

# HSIP Projects from 2019 Pinal County STSP

- Apache Junction: Lighting on Apache Trail, Superstition Blvd, \$2,436,000
- Apache Junction: Shoulders, rumble strips, cable barrier on Ironwood Dr, \$2,008,618
- Pinal County: Sidewalk on Gantzel Rd, \$315,000
- Pinal County: LED flashing stop signs at 13 locations, \$400,575
- ADOT: Left-turn lanes at SR 87/Kleck Rd, \$613,373
- Casa Grande: Pedestrian hybrid beacon at Cottonwood Ln/Kadota Ave, \$360,000
- ADOT: Rumble strips on SR 287, Hacienda Rd to SR 87, \$632,688
- Casa Grande and Coolidge: Rumble strips on Overfield Rd, Signal Peak Rd, \$368,083
- ADOT: Turn lanes at SR 87/Kenworthy Rd, SR 87/Christensen Rd, \$693,882
- Coolidge: Rumble strips on Skousen Rd, Eleven Mile Rd, \$735,525
- Pinal County: FYA, median mods, sidewalk on Gantzel Rd, Hunt Hwy, \$1,394,584

**Total Funding: \$9,958,328**

## Supplemental 2022 HSIP Projects

- ADOT: Signal at SR 87/Skousen Rd, \$1,899,864
- ADOT: Pedestrian hybrid beacon at SR 387/Viola St, \$568,570
- ADOT: Passing lanes on SR 79, \$6,398,703
- Casa Grande: Raised median on Florence Blvd near Colorado St, \$588,040
- Casa Grande: Improve turn lane offsets at Florence Blvd/Pearl Rd, \$504,083
- Eloy: Lighting at Battaglia Dr/Tweedy Rd, \$277,397
- Pinal County: Paved shoulders on Battaglia Dr, \$3,396,875
- Pinal County: Paved shoulders, rumble strips on Sunland Gin Rd, \$1,440,510

**Total Funding: \$15,074,042**

## HSIP Applications Submitted May 2024

- Apache Junction: Flashing yellow arrows on Ironwood Dr, \$1,460,977
- Maricopa: Speed feedback signs on high priority streets, \$987,720
- Queen Creek: Street lights on Gary Rd from north of Empire Blvd, \$1,378,936
- Pinal County: Signal at Thompson Rd/Mountain Vista Blvd, \$1,534,107
- Casa Grande: Reflectorized backplate borders citywide, \$1,405,197
- Casa Grande: Signal at Jimmy Kerr Blvd/Earley R, \$1,605,642
- Eloy: Speed feedback signs on high priority streets, \$1,587,035
- Coolidge: Signal at Coolidge Ave/9th St, \$1,833,586
- Coolidge: Speed feedback signs on high priority streets, \$1,133,485
- ADOT: Paved shoulders and rumble strips SR 177, MP 161.2-162.7, \$7,482,125

**Total Funding Request: \$20,408,810**

Total HSIP Funding FY 2014-2026  
\$28,929,699 for 31 Projects in Pinal County

HSIP Applications Submitted May 2024  
\$20,408,810 for 10 Projects in Pinal County

**\$49,338,509 for 41 Projects**



- Installation of a Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon on Cottonwood Lane at Kadota Avenue



- Traffic signal at the intersection of Arizona Boulevard (SR 87) and Ruins Drive





- Pinal County LED Stop Sign Installation, Various two-way stop-controlled intersections in Pinal County within the City of Casa Grande, City of Coolidge, Town of Eloy, and Gila River Indian Community



- Street Lighting on Apache Trail (Meridian to SR 88) & Superstition Blvd (Meridian to SR 88)



- Ironwood (Baseline to Elliot) Paved Shoulders & Edgeline Rumble Strips

## Next Steps

- 30-Day Public Comment Period: January 16 – February 15, 2025
- Town of Florence 3rd Friday Public Event – February 21, 2025
- Lost Dutchman Days Public Event – February 22, 2025
- SCMPO Executive Board Approval: March 11, 2025
- Pinal County Board of Supervisors Resolution of Support: April 2025

# Questions?

**Mike Blankenship, Greenlight Traffic Engineering**  
**[mikeb@greenlightte.com](mailto:mikeb@greenlightte.com), 623-308-6523**

**Jason Bottjen, SCMPO**  
**[jbottjen@scmpo.org](mailto:jbottjen@scmpo.org), 520-705-5153**